

## Part 2

### Summary of Contents of Records Disclosed on April 26, 2024 by RCMP in response to Democracy Watch’s July 2022 *Access to Information Act* request for all records of the investigation into alleged obstruction of justice by the Trudeau Cabinet by pressuring the Attorney General to intervene and stop the prosecution of SNC-Lavalin

Below is a summary of the contents of the single PDF sent from the RCMP to Democracy Watch by mail on April 26, 2024, with highlights about key information, developments or time periods during the investigation ([Click here to see](#) the PDF of the 1,832 pages total disclosed). NOTE: Key parts of the records that raise serious questions about the RCMP’s investigation and decision not to prosecute anyone are set out below in bold text.

PDF page #	Description of contents
1-2	Feb. 28, 2019 letter from then-Leader of the Conservative Party Andrew Scheer requesting investigation and Mar. 1, 2019 response from then-RCMP Commissioner Brenda Lucki
3-19	RCMP Staff Sgt. Jean-François Arbour’s investigation book covering March 12, 2019 to May 15, 2019 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Several pages redacted under s. 23 of federal <i>Access to Information Act (ATIA)</i> which exempts communications covered by “solicitor-client privilege”;</li> <li>- pp. 7-12 re: contact with then-Privy Council Office (PCO) Clerk Michael Wernick’s lawyer;</li> <li>- pp. 13-14 re: contact with the RCMP’s National Special Advisor for Financial Crime Investigations <a href="#">John N. Ahern</a> who, it seems, was the lawyer/solicitor consulted by the RCMP throughout the investigation;</li> <li>- pp. 15-16 re: setting up meeting with former Attorney General Jody Wilson Raybould (see also pp. 39-46 re: the meeting);</li> <li>- pp. 17-19 re: May 15th call with John Ahern (including 25 pages fully redacted/withheld under s. 23 of <i>ATIA</i>);</li> </ul>
20-38	Public statements by SNC-Lavalin and media articles re: SNC-Lavalin
39-48	Notes by RCMP Sgt. Frederic Pincince (“Pincince”) from meeting on May 1, 2019 with former Attorney General Jody Wilson Raybould (“JWR”). <b>Acknowledges (pp. 43-44) that the federal Cabinet’s Order in Council did not allow Jessica Prince (“Prince”), JWR’s former Chief of Staff, and other third parties (Nathalie Drouin (“Drouin”), Francois Giroux (“Giroux” – former JWR ministerial staffperson), Minister Jane Philpott (“Philpott”), to provide evidence of her</b>

	<b>communications with staff members of the Prime Minister's Office (PMO) or Ministry of Finance, and also did not allow JWR to provide evidence of what happened after she was removed by the PMO as Attorney General.</b>
49	Two pages redacted under s. 23 of federal <i>Access to Information Act (ATIA)</i> due to communications covered by "solicitor-client privilege"
50-53	Aug. 19, 2019 complaint letter to RCMP from Andrew Scheer, then Leader of the Opposition, and RCMP Aug. 26, 2019 letter to Scheer from then-RCMP Commissioner Brenda Lucki
54-146	<p><b>Transcript of Sept. 10, 2019 interview of JWR by RCMP Sgt. Frederic Pincince ("Pincince") and Sgt. Lisa Williams ("Williams") in which JWR summarizes the occasions when Prime Minister Justin Trudeau ("Trudeau") and various Cabinet ministers and federal government officials pressured her or her staff to intervene and stop the prosecution of SNC-Lavalin.</b></p> <p><b>NOTE: See below for Summary of Interview (pp. 298-311) and see also below for Summary of third interview of JWR on February 19, 2020 (pp. 368-373).</b></p> <p>Discussion re: calls (pp. 57, 59-60, 78-82) in Aug.-Sept. 2018 between Ben Chin ("Chin"), then Chief of Staff for Minister of Finance Bill Morneau ("Morneau"), and Justin To ("To"), then Deputy Chief of Staff to Morneau, and Prince and Giroux re: doing a deferred prosecution deal with SNC-Lavalin.</p> <p>Mentions that JWR directed Prince and Giroux to keep a record of all emails and conversations (p. 72).</p> <p>Discussion re: Mathieu Bouchard ("Bouchard") and Elder Marques ("Marques), then PMO staff, having conversations about giving SNC-Lavalin a deferred prosecution agreement ("DPA") with Prince on Sept. 16, 2018 and other conversations between Bouchard and Drouin around that time (pp. 84-86 and 88) and between JWR and Bouchard and Marques in November 2018 (p. 87), with it being made clear throughout that JWR had decided in Sept. 2018 that it would be inappropriate for her to intervene in the prosecution of SNC-Lavalin and that it was inappropriate to pressure her to intervene (pp. 88-90).</p> <p>Discussion re: meeting on Sept. 17, 2018 in PMO with Prime Minister Trudeau ("PM") and Clerk of Privy Council Michael Wernick ("Wernick") pressuring JWR to intervene and give SNC-Lavalin a DPA (pp. 91-96).</p> <p>Discussion re: meeting on Sept. 19, 2018 between Wernick and JWR at JWR's office where Wernick pressured JWR to give SNC-Lavalin a DPA (pp. 97-98).</p>

Discussion re: ongoing conversations between Chin, Bouchard, Marques and Prince, and conversation between Morneau and JWR, during Sept. 2018, all pressuring JWR to give SNC-Lavalin a DPA (pp. 99-103).

Discussion re: PMO staff pressuring JWR to get a legal opinion allowing JWR to intervene and give SNC-Lavalin a DPA (pp. 103-106).

Discussion re: Oct. 26, 2018 conversation between Prince and Bouchard where Bouchard pressured Prince to have JWR give SNC-Lavalin a DPA to help Liberal Party be re-elected (pp. 106-107).

Discussion re: Nov. 22, 2018 meeting between Bouchard, Marques and JWR where they pressured JWR to give SNC-Lavalin a DPA to help Liberal Party be re-elected (pp. 107-114) and highlights that JWR told them clearly that what they were doing was wrongful (p. 112).

Discussion re: Dec. 5, 2018 meeting between JWR and Gerry Butts ("Butts"), then-Principal Secretary to the PM, where Butts said to JWR that there needs to be a solution that gives SNC-Lavalin a DPA (pp. 114-121), and subsequent text to JWR from Wernick re: SNC-Lavalin can send a letter to JWR expressing its concerns (which JWR suggested to Wernick at their meeting on Sept. 19, 2018).

Discussion re: Dec. 7, 2018 letter from PM to JWR forwarding October 15, 2018 letter from SNC-Lavalin, which JWR responded to with a letter sent Dec. 14, 2018 (pp. 122-124).

Discussion re: Dec. 18, 2018 meeting at PMO between Butts and Katie Telford ("Telford"), Chief of Staff for the PM and Prince (pp. 124-129).

Discussion re: JWR meeting with former Prime Minister Kim Campbell in Dec. 2018 (pp. 130-132).

Discussion re: phone conversation that JWR had with Wernick on Dec. 19, 2018 that JWR recorded (pp. 133-135) in which Wernick made it clear that the PM had to get what he wanted, namely the prosecution of SNC-Lavalin stopped.

Discussion re: phone conversation that JWR had with PM on Jan. 7, 2019 about moving JWR from being Attorney General and Minister of Justice to the position of Minister of Indigenous Services in Cabinet because, the PM claimed, Scott Brison had resigned, but JWR concluded it was because of the SNC-Lavalin situation (pp. 136-137).

Discussion re: texts between JWR and Butts and their conversation on Jan. 8, 2019 about JWR being moved into another Cabinet minister position, and subsequent texts between JWR and Butts, as well as conversations also between

	<p>JWR and the PM about this move, and with Liberal MP David Lametti and Wernick, with JWR consistently refusing the move to the position of Minister of Indigenous Services (and that she was instead moved to be Minister of Veteran Affairs) and JWR saying to everyone that she believed the PM was moving her was because of the SNC-Lavalin situation (pp. 137-140).</p> <p>Discussion re: information JWR received when reviewing whether to intervene and stop the prosecution of SNC-Lavalin, and that she didn't review the two legal opinions that were obtained from two retired Supreme Court of Canada justices, and that SNC-Lavalin did not lobby her office and she thought they also did not lobby her department officials (pp. 141-144).</p> <p><b>JWR tells the RCMP that “there are more people that you guys need to talk to than me” and “there is a lot more um, information out there um, that I wasn't privy to” and “knowing other things that I know, uh, you guys need to get an extension of this waiver” of Cabinet confidentiality (pp. 144).</b></p>
147	Says pp. 894-926 of the investigation records are withheld under clause 16(1)(b) of the federal <i>Access to Information Act</i> (which is an exemption for records which contain “information relating to investigative techniques or plans for specific lawful investigations”).
148-157	RCMP Sgt. Pincince's notes from Sept. 10, 2019 interview of JWR.
158-164	RCMP Sgt. William's notes from Sept. 10, 2019 interview of JWR.
165-181	Notebook of RCMP Cpl. Christian Lefebvre, RCMP Sensitive and International Investigations, concerning actions taken during investigation into obstruction of justice by the PM, with the first entry on Feb. 24, 2019 and last entry on Sept. 13, 2019 (with redactions on pp. 173-174).
182	Says pp. 962-981 of the investigation records are withheld under clause 16(1)(b) of the federal <i>Access to Information Act</i> (which is an exemption for records which contain “information relating to investigative techniques or plans for specific lawful investigations”).
183-189	RCMP Sgt. Pincince's notes concerning the investigation dated October 11, 2019.
190-197	RCMP Sgt. William's notes from interview on October 11, 2019 by RCMP Sgt. Pincince of Nathalie Drouin, with François Joyal and Marie-Claude Filion from the Department of Justice also present.

198-296	Transcript of interview on October 11, 2019 by RCMP Sgt. Pincince of Nathalie Drouin, with François Joyal and Marie-Claude Filion from the Department of Justice also present.
297	Says pp. 1096-1115 of the investigation records are withheld under clause 16(1)(b) of the federal <i>Access to Information Act</i> (which is an exemption for records which contain “information relating to investigative techniques or plans for specific lawful investigations”).
298-311	<b>Summary of Sept. 10, 2019 interview of JWR by RCMP Sgt. Pincince and Sgt. Williams. NOTE: See above for Transcript of Interview (pp. 54-146).</b>
312-313	Letter from RCMP Superintendent Mike McLean to the Department of Finance Canada requesting government records concerning the issuance of a remediation agreement for SNC-Lavalin from September 1, 2018 to February 14, 2019.
314	Says p. 1134 of the investigation records is not relevant.
315-323	Notes of, it seems from handwriting, RCMP Sgt. Pincince re: concerning actions taken during investigation into obstruction of justice by the PM, with the first entry on Feb. 28, 2019 (with significant redactions).
324	Says p. 1144 of the investigation records is withheld under s. 23 of federal <i>Access to Information Act (ATIA)</i> due to communications covered by “solicitor-client privilege”.
325-360	Continuation of, it seems from handwriting, RCMP Sgt. Pincince re: concerning actions taken during investigation into obstruction of justice by the PM, with the first entry on March 25, 2019 (with significant redactions).
361	Says pp. 1181-1210 of the investigation records are duplicates (doesn't say which pages they are duplicates of).
362	Says pp. 1211-1216 of the investigation records are duplicates (doesn't say which pages they are duplicates of).
363	Says pp. 1217-1220 of the investigation records are withheld under clause 16(1)(b) of the federal <i>Access to Information Act</i> (which is an exemption for records which contain “information relating to investigative techniques or plans for specific lawful investigations”).
364	Says pp. 1221-1227 of the investigation records are duplicates (doesn't say which pages they are duplicates of).
365-367	Letter to RCMP Superintendent Mike McLean from the Department of Finance Canada stating that the Department has no government records concerning the issuance of a remediation agreement for SNC-Lavalin from September 1, 2018 to February 14, 2019.

368-373	<p><b>RCMP Sgt. William’s notes from February 19, 2020 interview of JWR by RCMP Sgt. Pincince.</b></p> <p><b>NOTE: Similar questions asked as at interview on Sept. 10, 2019 (see above, pp. 54-146).</b></p> <p><b>NOTE 2: On p. 373, paraphrases JWR as saying “Since the Justice Committee testimony and within the confines of the waiver, she did not think it was Criminal. After the Ethics Report came out,” and then the rest of the sentence summarizing what JWR said is redacted, which raises serious questions about what JWR said, and why the RCMP is hiding what she said. Also, the following sentence summarizes the next thing JWR said as “According to JWR there are 2 realities from this: Either the Clerk and the PMO had no understanding of the role of the AG in spite of being told several times so felt like they were doing nothing wrong,” and then the rest of the sentence summarizing what JWR said is again redacted, which again raises serious questions about what JWR said, and why the RCMP is hiding what she said.</b></p>
374	<p>Says pp. 1237-1247 of the investigation records are withheld under clause 16(1)(b) of the federal <i>Access to Information Act</i> (which is an exemption for records which contain “information relating to investigative techniques or plans for specific lawful investigations”).</p>
375	<p>Says pp. 1248-1258 of the investigation records are duplicates (doesn’t say which pages they are duplicates of).</p>
376-441	<p><b>Transcript of Feb. 19, 2020 interview of JWR by RCMP Sgt. Pincince and Sgt. Williams. NOTE: See above summary of interview by Sgt. Williams (pp. 368-373).</b></p> <p>JWR says she had conversations with former Attorney Generals about their relationship to the PM, and there was a year of Cabinet conversations and consultations from 2017-2018 about amending the <i>Criminal Code</i> to allow for deferred prosecution agreements (DPAs) (pp. 377-382).</p> <p>Mentions that JWR talked with former Attorney Generals in fall 2018 at suggestion of Butts about granting a DPA to SNC-Lavalin, but that the section 13 document prepared by the Director of Public Prosecutions (DPP) and the Public Prosecution Service of Canada (PPSC) had convinced JWR that a DPA was not warranted and so she had already decided not to override the DPP (pp. 382-383).</p> <p>Summarizes the section 13 document process, and initial contacts between JWR and her staff and other ministers and government officials concerning her decision in September 2018, and the briefing note re: the section 13 document sent then to the PMO (pp. 383-397). <b>NOTE: On pp. 395-top of 397,</b></p>

	<p><b>JWR is asked about what the legal remedy is for the pressure put on her to stop the prosecution</b>, and she says “you can look at the Criminal Code around obstruction charges...” <b>and again the last part of what JWR said is again redacted, which again raises serious questions about what JWR said, and why the RCMP is hiding what she said</b> (top of p. 396).</p> <p>Discussion re: JWR’s meetings with PM and Wernick on Sept. 5, 2018 and meeting with Wernick on Sept. 18, 2019 where both talked about political considerations and protecting jobs as reasons to grant a DPA to SNC-Lavalin (pp. 398-402).</p> <p>Discussion re: JWR’s options as AG with regard to prosecutions, and the process of the AG intervening in a prosecution (pp. 402-404).</p> <p>Discussion re: JWR’s discussion in October 2018 with Minister Scott Brison and (p. 412) that through the fall 2018 JWR also had discussions with Cabinet ministers Jane Philpott, Bill Morneau, François Phillippe Champagne and Navdeep Bains (pp. 404-413).</p> <p>Discussion re: JWR’s meeting on Nov, 22, 2018 with Bouchard and Marques at which they used protecting jobs as the reason to grant a DPA to SNC-Lavalin, and that JWR should get retired Supreme Court of Canada Justice Beverley McLachlin, and that JWR thought it was “incredibly disrespectful” (p. 416) for the PMO to try to use Justice McLachlin to undermine the AG and Department of Justice lawyers (pp. 414-417).</p> <p>Discussion re: Dec. 5, 2018 meeting between JWR and Butts.</p>
442-443	Task Action Report on Feb. 26, 2020 by RCMP Constable Malik re: Internet search for mentions of SNC-Lavalin situation
444-451	Summary of Oct. 11, 2019 interview of then-Deputy Minister of Justice Nathalie Drouin by RCMP Sgt. Pincince and Sgt. Williams, prepared by RCMP Constable Melanie Roy.
452	Says pp. 1355-1358 of the investigation records are duplicates (doesn’t say which pages they are duplicates of).
453-462	Summary of Feb. 19, 2020 interview of JWR by RCMP Sgt. Pincince and Sgt. Williams, prepared by RCMP Constable Melanie Roy.
463-466	Report by RCMP Sgt. Pincince concerning why investigation was delayed by COVID.
467-542	<b>Transcript of Oct. 25, 2020 interview of Jessica Prince, former Chief of Staff to JWR, by RCMP Sgt. Pincince and Sgt. Williams, with several parts redacted (no reason is given for any of the redactions).</b>

**On pages, 473-474, parts are redacted from Prince's description of the phone call she received on Sept. 16, 2018 from Mathieu Bouchard ("Bouchard") and Elder Marques ("Marques"), both then-Senior Advisor to the PM.**

**On p. 478, parts are redacted from Prince's description of the phone call she received on Sept. 16, 2018 from Ben Chin, then-Chief of Staff for then-Minister of Finance Bill Morneau.**

**On p. 483-484, Prince tells RCMP that during her Oct. 26, 2018 phone call with Bouchard he told her that JWR needed to intervene to help the Liberals get re-elected, and she clearly told him that JWR intervening would be political interference in a prosecution.**

**On pp. 487-488, parts are redacted from Prince's description of her meeting on Dec. 18, 2018 with Katie Telford, Chief of Staff for the PM ("Telford") and Gerald Butts, then-Principal Secretary to the PM ("Butts") at which she clearly told them that JWR was not going to stop the prosecution.**

**On p. 498, parts are redacted from Prince's answer. Again, no reason is given for any of these redactions.**

**On pp. 503-504, Prince discusses how the government would use retired Supreme Court Justices or other "eminent Canadian" to justify any of the government's actions that were difficult because the opposition parties couldn't "possibly impugn their credentials".**

**On p. 519, part is redacted from Prince's description of her notes concerning JWR's call on October 14, 2018 from then-Treasury Board Minister Scott Brison pressuring JWR to intervene in the prosecution of SNC-Lavalin.**

**On p. 523, Prince discusses SNC-Lavalin's court action to try to overturn the Director of the PPSC's decision to prosecute, and how the Department of Justice had to ensure that the PPSC had adequate counsel to defend the decision, as she and others had "been in private practice and we knew that you could throw money at stuff and sometimes you could take advantage of government lawyers."**

**On p. 525, Prince discusses the debrief JWR gave her of her meeting on Dec. 5, 2018 with Butts, and that JWR told her that she said to Butts re: the ongoing pressuring to intervene and stop the prosecution of SNC-Lavalin that "They have to stop doing this and I made it clear that they have to stop."**

**On pp. 526-528 and p. 532 Prince's describes her meeting on Dec. 18, 2018 she had with Telford and Butts, and how**



	<p><b>she told them that JWR intervening would be unconstitutional interference in a prosecution. Parts of her statement are retracted on p. 527 and another part on p. 528 and another part on p. 532.</b></p> <p><b>On p. 529, Prince states that JWR’s “mind had been made up in September, and that was super clear to me and should’ve been super clear to everyone else” that JWR was not going to intervene to stop the prosecution.</b></p>
543	Says pp. 1432-1437 of the investigation records are duplicates (doesn’t say which pages they are duplicates of).
544-559	RCMP record re: communications between JWR, Prince and other JWR staff with the PM, Cabinet ministers and government officials re: SNC-Lavalin prosecution (mostly redacted under clause 16(1)(b) of the federal <i>Access to Information Act</i> , which is an exemption for records that contain “information relating to investigative techniques or plans for specific lawful investigations”).
560-584	Notebook pages of RCMP officer re: investigation actions, with first entry on Jan. 7, 2020 and last entry on Jan. 22, 2020.
585-586	Says pages 1613-1622 of the investigation records are withheld under section 23 of the federal <i>Access to Information Act</i> , which exempt records subject to solicitor-client privilege.
587-719	<b>RCMP Sgt. Pincince’s “Assessment Report: Obstruction of Justice – SNC-Lavalin Affair”</b> . This report is a duplicate, as it was already included in the Part 1 disclosure by the RCMP of investigations on September 22, 2023.
720	Says pp. 1765-1766 of the investigation records are withheld under section 23 of the federal <i>Access to Information Act</i> , which exempt records subject to solicitor-client privilege.
721-722	Says pp. 1767-1810 of the investigation records are duplicates (doesn’t say which pages they are duplicates of).
723-724	Says pages 1811-1879 of the investigation records are withheld under 16(1)(b) of the federal <i>Access to Information Act</i> , which is an exemption for records that contain “information relating to investigative techniques or plans for specific lawful investigations”.
725-999	Most pages are blacked out completely. Seems to be a notebook of a federal government official, along with other communication records of that official. Page 731 says pp. 1897-1902, and pp. 987-989 say pp. 2158-2262, and p. 993 says pp. 2348-2349, and p. 995 says pp. 2401-2404, and p. 997 says pp. 2406-2410, and p. 999 says pp. 2412-2414 of the investigation records are all withheld under section 23 of the federal <i>Access to Information Act</i> , which exempt records subject to solicitor-client

	<p>privilege. Page 991 says pp. 2263-2284 of the investigation records are duplicates (doesn't say which pages they are duplicates of). Page 992 says pp. 2285-2347, and p. 994 says pp. 2350-2400, and p. 996 says p. 2405, and p. 998 says p. 2411, of the investigation records are withheld under clause 16(1)(a) of the federal <i>Access to Information Act</i> (which is an exemption for records which contain information obtained or prepared by an investigative body "in the course of lawful investigations").</p>
1000-1042	<p><b>JWR's supplementary written submission dated March 26, 2019 to the House of Commons Standing Committee on Justice and Human Rights following up on JWR's testimony before the Committee on February 27, 2019 that summarizes the occasions and communications in which the PM, Wernick, Cabinet ministers and their staff, and PMO staff pressured her to intervene and stop the prosecution of SNC-Lavalin from Aug. to Dec. 2018, and includes a transcript of the Dec. 19, 2018 phone call between JWR and Wernick, as well as attachments of copies of emails and texts of some of these communications.</b> This document was already made public by the House Committee in spring 2019.</p>
1043-1044	<p>Says pp. 2520-2526 of the investigation records are all withheld under section 23 of the federal <i>Access to Information Act</i>, which exempt records subject to solicitor-client privilege, and p. 1044 says p. 2530 is not relevant, but does explain why it is not relevant. Does not say anything about pp. 2527-2529.</p>
1045-1055	<p>Notebook pages of RCMP officer re: investigation actions, with first entry on Dec. 16, 2020 and last entry on Dec. 6, 2022. Page 1052 says pp. 2538 to 2554 of the investigation records are duplicates. Page 1053 says pp. 3324 to 3327 are not relevant, but does not explain why they are not relevant.</p>
1056-1057	<p>RCMP Situation Report by Sgt. Pincince re: plan to contact JWR and former Conservative Party Leader Andrew Scheer ("Scheer") re: RCMP's decision not to prosecute anyone.</p>
1058	<p>Letter from RCMP to Scheer dated Jan. 25, 2023.</p>
1059	<p>Says pp. 3333 to 3335 are not relevant, but does not explain why they are not relevant.</p>
1060-1141	<p>Internal RCMP emails from Jan. to June 2021 re: the investigation from Sgt. Pincince communicating with Supt. Mike MacLean and others in the RCMP. Page 1061 says pp. 3337 to 3338 of the investigation records are duplicates. Page 1064 says pp. 3341 to 3346 are duplicates. Pages 1067-1068, 1071, 1074-1077, 1079-1082, 1087, 1089 are mostly redacted emails to and from RCMP's National Special Advisor for Financial Crime Investigations <a href="#">John N. Ahern</a> ("Ahern"), and many other pages are fully or partially redacted. Page 1069 says pp. 3351 to 3352</p>

	<p>are duplicates. Page 1073 says pp. 3356 to 3357 are duplicates. Page 1078 says pp. 3362 to 3363 are duplicates. Page 1083 says p. 3368 is not relevant, but does explain why it is not relevant. Page 1086 says pp. 3371 to 3374 are duplicates. Page 1088 says p. 3376 is a duplicate. Page 1086 says pp. 3389 to 3391 are duplicates. Page 1105 says p. 3395 is a duplicate. Page 1108 says pp. 3398 to 3399 are duplicates. Page 1110 says pp. 3401 to 3402 are duplicates. Page 1113 says p. 3405 is a duplicate. Pages 1116-1117 says pp. 3408-3410 are duplicates. Page 1118 says p. 3411 is not relevant, but does explain why it is not relevant. Page 1125 says p. 3418 is a duplicate. Page 1133 says p. 3426 is a duplicate. Page 1135 says p. 3428 is a duplicate. Page 1140 says p. 3433 is not relevant, but does explain why it is not relevant.</p>
1143-1164	<p>Internal RCMP emails from Sept. 2021 re: the investigation from Sgt. Pincince communicating with Supt. Mike MacLean and others in the RCMP, including current RCMP Commissioner Michael Duheme, re: the release of JWR's book "Indian in the Cabinet".</p>
1165-1195	<p>Internal RCMP emails from Dec. 2021 to June 2022 re: the investigation from Sgt. Pincince communicating with Supt. Mike MacLean and others in the RCMP, including emails from Dec. 2021 saying that a decision has been made by National Headquarters. <b>This raises the question why the decision was not actually issued until Jan. 2023?</b></p>
1196-1210	<p>Internal RCMP emails from Nov. 2022 to Jan. 2023 re: the investigation from Sgt. Pincince communicating with Supt. Mike MacLean and others in the RCMP.</p>
1211-1215	<p>Pages from notebooks of RCMP officers re: investigation.</p>
1216-1217	<p><b>Summary of call between RCMP and JWR on Jan. 25, 2023 re: decision not to prosecute anyone.</b></p>
1218-1375	<p>Internal RCMP emails from Sept. 2019 to Dec. 2020 re: the investigation, concerning diaries obtained from Prince, summarizing the Feb. 2020 interview with JWR, arranging to obtain the statement from Jane Philpott, former President of the Treasury Board ("Philpott"), arranging the statement from Prince, and preparing a draft report for Ahern (with many emails to Ahern partially or fully redacted).</p>
1376-1541	<p>Internal RCMP emails from Feb. 2019 to the end of May 2019 re: the initial response after the media first reported the allegations of political interference and pressure on JWR to stop the prosecution of SNC-Lavalin, and the monitoring of House Committee meetings and disclosures and reports in March 2019 concerning the affair, and the initial contact with JWR to arrange to interview her on May 1, 2019.</p>

	<p>Page 1393 says that the RCMP presented an advisory brief to “MAG” (Ministry of the Attorney General?) on March 1, 2019.</p> <p><b>Pages 1508-1509 summarize May 1, 2019 meeting with JWR.</b> Pages 1514-1541 contain mostly redacted emails that show RCMP Supt. Mike MacLean called and/or met a few times in May 2019 with Edward Livingstone, Acting Deputy Assistant Deputy Minister at the Department of Justice (“Livingstone”), and also called and/or met with Paul Shuttle (“Shuttle”), Counsel to the Clerk of the Privy Council (i.e. Michael Wernick) in May 2019, which seem to be about the scope of the waiver of Cabinet confidence granted to JWR to discuss the affair.</p>
1542-1684	<p>Internal RCMP emails from June 2019 to Dec. 2019 re: investigation, including between Sgt. Pincince and Ahern, and Livingstone (pp. 1548-1550), and with JWR in Aug. (pp. 1564-1570), and with JWR in Sept. (pp. 1592-1598, and 1608-1609) re: the initial interview with her on Sept. 9, 2019, and subsequent pages re: the media coverage of the interview, and with Prince in Sept. (pp. 1612-1615, 1620-1624, 1627-1631, 1635-1645, 1656, 1662-1663), and with JWR in Nov. (pp. 1646-1647), and in response to Scheer’s public comments in Dec. 2019 (pp. 1665-1666), and with JWR again in Dec. (pp. 1668-1677), and again with Prince in Dec. (pp. 1679-1682).</p> <p><b>Page 1616 briefly summarizes Sept. 9, 2019 interview with JWR.</b></p>
1685-1689	RCMP Exhibit Continuity Report re: investigation exhibits
1690	Says p. 4445 of the investigation records is not relevant, but does explain why it is not relevant.
1691-1744	Records of internal RCMP communications concerning Democracy Watch’s news release on June 19, 2023 about the RCMP’s May 25, 2023 letter to DWatch stating that the investigation of the PM is ongoing.
1745-1746	Letter from RCMP to Democracy Watch dated May 25, 2023 re: DWatch’s <i>Access to Information Act</i> request for all documents related to the investigation of the PM for obstruction of justice for pressuring JWR to stop the prosecution of SNC-Lavalin.
1747-1748	Says pp. 1-72 of the investigation records are withheld under clause 16(1)(a) of the federal <i>Access to Information Act</i> (which is an exemption for records which contain information obtained or prepared by an investigative body “in the course of lawful investigations”).
1749	Says pp. 73-74 of the investigation records are not relevant, but does explain why they are not relevant.
1750	Says pp. 75-81 of the investigation records are withheld under clause 16(1)(a) of the federal <i>Access to Information Act</i> (which is

	an exemption for records which contain information obtained or prepared by an investigative body “in the course of lawful investigations”).
1751	Says pp. 82-83 of the investigation records are not relevant, but does explain why they are not relevant.
1752	Says pp. 84-85 of the investigation records are withheld under clause 16(1)(a) of the federal <i>Access to Information Act</i> (which is an exemption for records which contain information obtained or prepared by an investigative body “in the course of lawful investigations”).
1753	Says p. 86 of the investigation records is a duplicate (doesn't say which page it is a duplicate of).
1754	Says pp. 87-89 of the investigation records are withheld under clause 16(1)(a) of the federal <i>Access to Information Act</i> (which is an exemption for records which contain information obtained or prepared by an investigative body “in the course of lawful investigations”).
1755-1759	Letter from Democracy Watch to RCMP requesting public update on investigation.
1760	Says pp. 95-96 of the investigation records are withheld under clause 16(1)(a) of the federal <i>Access to Information Act</i> (which is an exemption for records which contain information obtained or prepared by an investigative body “in the course of lawful investigations”).
1761-1780	Notebook of RCMP Sgt. Williams concerning actions taken during investigation into obstruction of justice by the PM, with the first entry on May 1, 2019 and last entry on Nov. 30, 2020 (with redactions on pp. 1767, 1773-74)
1778-1781	Summary of Jan. 28, 2020 interview of Jane Philpott (“Philpott”) former President of the Treasury Board, by RCMP Sgt. Pincince and Sgt. Williams, with significant redactions.
1782-1788	RCMP Sgt. William's notes from Jan. 28, 2020 interview of Philpott (with significant redactions, including p. 1785 fully redacted under clauses of section 69 of the federal <i>Access to Information Act</i> , which exclude disclosure of Cabinet confidences).
1789-1818	<p>Transcript of Jan. 28, 2020 interview of Philpott by RCMP Sgt. Pincince and Sgt. Williams (with redactions, including p. 1805 fully redacted under subsection 19(1) of the federal <i>Access to Information Act</i>, which exempts disclosure of personal information).</p> <p><b>On pp. 1792, 1803, 1804, 1806-1808, 1810-1816, Philpott's answers to key questions about what JWR communicated to her about being pressured to stop the prosecution of</b></p>

	<p><b>SNC-Lavalin are redacted, and why Philpott resigned from Cabinet, raising the question of what exactly she told the RCMP.</b></p> <p><b>On pp. 1796-1797, Philpott’s statements about a situation is fully redacted. On p. 1795, Philpott says that what she is talking about may be “worthy of further investigation.”</b></p>
1819-1824	Summary of Jan. 28, 2020 interview of Jessica Prince, former Chief of Staff to JWR, by RCMP Sgt. Pincince and Sgt. Williams (based on notes taken by Sgt. Williams).
1825-1826	Notes from someone in RCMP on June 19, 2023 (the day Democracy Watch made public the RCMP’s letter to DWatch that stated the SNC-Lavalin investigation was ongoing).
1827-1832	Summary of Jan. 28, 2020 interview of Philpott by RCMP Sgt. Pincince and Sgt. Williams (based on notes taken by Sgt. Williams).