



VIA EMAIL: info@democracywatch.ca

Duff Conacher
P.O. Box 821, Stn. B
Ottawa, Ontario K1P 5P9

Dear Duff Conacher:

This is in response to your request under the *Access to Information Act*, received by the Privy Council Office on September 29, 2025, for the following information:

“[CLARIFICATION]:

- one record per each piece of information being requested.**
- Timeframe: the date that the PM's ethics screen was approved to present**

- 1. At least one record that contains the date that Prime Minister Mark Carney’s ethics screen was approved by the Ethics Commissioner (“that ethics screen”).**
- 2. If that is not the same date that ethics screen came into force, at least one record that contains the date that the ethics screen came into force.**
- 3. At least one record that contains information concerning whether the PM’s Chief of Staff and/or the PCO Clerk delegated the task of flagging discussions, decisions and/or votes for review to ensure compliance with that ethics screen.**
- 4. If the PM’s Chief of Staff and/or the PCO Clerk have delegated the task of flagging discussions, decisions and/or votes for review to ensure compliance with that ethics screen, at least one record containing the identities of the people who have been delegated this task and what are their job titles.**
- 5. Since the date on which that ethics screen came into force, up to the date this ATIA request is considered filed by the Privy Council office (the “time period”) at least one record that contains information concerning:**
 - (i) how many discussions;**
 - (ii) separately how many decision-making processes, and;**
 - (iii) separately how many votes,****took place that involved or could have involved Mr. Carney.**

6. During the time period, at least one record that contains information concerning the number of times that a discussion was flagged for review to ensure compliance with that ethics screen.
7. During the time period, at least one record that contains information concerning the number of times that a decision-making process was flagged for review to ensure compliance with that ethics screen.
8. During the time period, at least one record that contains information concerning the number of times that a vote was flagged for review to ensure compliance with that ethics screen.
9. During the time period, at least one record that contains information concerning the number of times that Mr. Carney was prevented from participating in a discussion because the terms of the screen prohibited from participating in the discussion.
10. During the time period, at least one record that contains information concerning the number of times that Mr. Carney was prevented from participating in a decision-making process because the terms of the screen prohibited from participating in the decision.
11. During the time period, at least one record that contains information concerning the number of times that Mr. Carney was prevented from participating in a vote because the terms of the screen prohibited from participating in the vote.”

In processing your request, we have found it necessary to undertake a consultation process. As a result, an extension of up to 120 days beyond the 30-day statutory deadline is required to complete your request. Please note that the new legislated due date is **March 14, 2026**. Should the consultations be completed in a shorter timeframe than specified, we will endeavour to respond to your request before the due date.

Please be advised that you are entitled to bring a complaint regarding the processing of this request to the Information Commissioner (30 Victoria Street, Gatineau, Québec K1A 1H3). The *Access to Information Act* allows a complaint to be made within 60 days of the receipt of this notice.

Yours sincerely,

David Neilson
Executive Director
Access to Information and Privacy
& Executive Correspondence
Services

c.c.: Information Commissioner